

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/025,570 12/26		12/26/2001	5/2001 Masaru Matsuura	K0103-US/OH	8366	
466	7590	01/25/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	& THOM TH 23RD S		MADSEN, I	MADSEN, ROBERT A		
2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1761		
				DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/025,570	MATSUURA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert Madsen	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 No</li> <li>2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This</li> <li>3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression.</li> </ul>	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-18 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 9-17 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7.18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original original contents.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)	-	•				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/025,570 Page 2

Art Unit: 1761

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The Amendment filed November 1, 2004 has been entered. Claim 18 has been added. Claims 1-7,9-18 remain pending in the application.

2. The objection to claim 9 is withdrawn in light of the Amendment.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-6,10-17 are allowed.
- 4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
- 5. The prior art does teach producing packed tofu by wherein an empty container is first coated on the inside surface with an aqueous solution comprising either a magnesium or calcium salt before it is filled with a coagulant-containing soybean milk.
- 6. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/025,570

Art Unit: 1761

8. Claim 7 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Sengoku et al. (US 4874630) in view of Uno et al. (JP 61234763).

. Page 3

- 9. Sengoku et al. teach filling a container with soybean milk and coagulant, sealing the container, heating the sealing container and providing a tofu that can be shaken laterally to break contact between the tofu and the inside of the container surface, wherein the tofu is not frozen, as recited in claim 18 (See Column 3, lines 4-38, Column 4, lines 10-32, and Example 1 from Column 4, line 38 to Column 5, line 9). Sengoku et al. further teach that the bean curds can be released from their mold containers when served for eating (Column 6, lines 50 to 57). However Sengoku et al. are silent in teaching dropping the sealed container as part of the manufacturing process to break contact between the tofu and inside of the container surface, as recited in claim 7.
- 10. Uno et al. are relied on as teaching the general concept of removing a solidified fluid food from a mold by intentionally dropping the mold. Uno et al. teach by dropping the mold, contact is easily broken between the food and mold surface, and the dropping method of Uno et al. is automated, via a conveyor system. (JPO and Derwent Abstracts).
- 11. Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify Sengoku et al. and include a dropping step to break contact between the tofu and mold container since Uno et al. teach breaking the contact between a molded fluid food and the container walls in which it is molded is *easily* done by dropping the container via an automated process. It also would have been obvious to include such a dropping step as part of the manufacturing process, since it would eliminate the need for an individual to shake the

Art Unit: 1761

mold container manually when serving the curds for eating. It would have been further obvious to drop the *sealed* container of Sengoku et al. rather than drop an opened container in such a manufacturing process, since Sengoku et al. teach the curds are released from the mold containers when served for eating. Dropping the open container during a manufacturing process would imply that one would have to serve the curds for eating at that point, since the curds would be released from the container at that point. By dropping the sealed containers as part of an automated process wherein the containers remain sealed, the manual step of breaking contact between the curds and mold container is no longer required by the consumer and the consumer can release the curds from the container at the appropriate time for eating.

### Response to Arguments

- 12. Applicant's arguments filed November 1, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 13. The amended claim 7 now requires that a sealed container be dropped.

  Applicant argues that Sengoku et al. teach shaking only when the container is opened.

  However, as discussed above, Sengoku et al. also teach releasing the curds from the container when the curds are served for eating. Thus, Sengoku et al. implies the container is opened at a time when it is appropriate to serve the curds. Uno et al. teach providing the an automated process of breaking the contact between a food and its mold container. Modifying Segno et al. and providing an automated process of breaking the contact between the curd and mold container of Sengoku et al. would offer

Application/Control Number: 10/025,570 Page 5

Art Unit: 1761

the advantage that an individual would not have to manually break the contact when the curds were served for eating. Additionally, as it would be highly unlikely that a consumer would have access to such an automated method, and modifying Sengoku et al. further such that the mold container remained closed during the "dropping process" would provide the consumer a choice to decide when the curds should be released from the containers for eating.

14. Applicant also argues that Uno et al. teach a frozen molded food, and as such this would not have been obvious to combine with Sengoku et al. Sengoku et al. teach a manual method for breaking contact between a molded food and the container in which it is molded. Uno et al. teach an automated method of breaking contact a molded food and the container in which it is molded. Thus, Uno et al. solves the same problem as Sengoku et al. . (i.e. breaking contact between the food and the mold container), but utilizing a different method.

#### Conclusion

- 15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 16. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/025,570

Art Unit: 1761

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Robert Madsen whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1402. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM-3:30PM M-F.

18. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

19. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert Madsen

Examiner

Art Unit 1761

**RAM** 

MILTON I. CANO

Page 6

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700**